American College of Surgeons: Commission on Cancer (ACOS CoC)

Cancer Program Standards 2011 Project:
The Future of Quality Cancer Care – is your program ready?

By Linda W. Ferris, Ph.D., ACE Representative to the CoC

To quote Peter Drucker, “All organizations need to know that virtually no program or activity will perform effectively for a long time without modification or redesign. Eventually every activity becomes obsolete…” So change is upon us in healthcare and in those programs currently accredited by the ACOS CoC. So where do we stand with the proposed changes? How will our structure, processes, and outcomes be redesigned and assessed?

Categories of Accreditation

With regard to the Categories of accreditation and the eligibility criteria, there are many changes. The categories are changing as indicated below:

1. **The Network Cancer Program** will now be expanded to include entities that are owned, leased, or in joint venture arrangements to be included in the Network. It will also expect that CPSR data will be evaluated for each facility and overall. Its revised name is **Integrated Comprehensive Cancer Program (ICCP)**.

2. The **Teaching Hospital Cancer Program** remains focused on post graduate medical education, but will also be required to have a minimum caseload of 500 analytic cases with increased clinical accrual requirements. Its revised name is **Academic Comprehensive Cancer Program (ACAD)**.

3. The **Community Comprehensive Cancer Program** will include programs with a minimum of 500+ analytic cases annually and will require increased clinical accrual requirements. Its revised name is **Comprehensive Community Cancer Program (COMP)**.

4. The **Community Hospital Cancer Program** will require a minimum of 101 to 500 analytic cases and established a clinical trial accrual requirement. Its new name will be **Community Cancer Program (CCP)**.

5. The **Hospital Associate Cancer Program** (HACP) intends to increasingly attract smaller or rural facilities and now lowers the analytic caseload to 100 or less. These facilities remain exempt from clinical trials and retain this name.

6. **Freestanding Cancer Center Program** (FCCP) allows participation by non-hospital facilities. This category will now allow for participation by facilities with one treat-
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Also in this issue, Daniel Chang, AIA NCARB, and Tim Black, LEED AP, with help from ACE member Matt Sherer, write about the importance of vault design in our radiation facilities (see page 4). The article points out that “The vault is the primary safety device employed in radiation therapy for cancer treatment. The [Vault] protects staff, patients, and other building occupants from primary and secondary radiation generated during therapy.” If you are updating your radiation facility, this is an important article to read.

I hope you are planning to attend our Annual Meeting, to be held in New Orleans, January 27–29th, 2011 at the Roosevelt Hotel. Kudos to President-elect Bill Laffey and the Education Committee – they have done an excellent job of designing a great conference! And don’t forget to register yourself and sign up your new oncology administrators for our one-day, pre-conference program, Oncology 101, on Wednesday, January 26. This program introduces participants to the topics and issues that they will face as an oncology executive. A must attend for every new administrator.

Remember, if you have ideas you would like to challenge us with, please contact us. ACE is made better by the contributions of its members!
The most underrated tool in the treatment of cancer

It’s the difference between treat and care

Listening. It’s made all the difference in how people think about us and how we think about our next innovations. By doing more listening than talking and through collaboration with our customers worldwide, Elekta produces many clinically relevant firsts that continue to define and raise the standard of human care. You certainly can hear a lot just by listening. Visit us at elektacom/experience.

Experience the Elekta Difference
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ment (i.e.: radiation oncology or medical oncology). A hospital partner is not required, but participation in clinical trials is required.
7. The Pediatric Cancer Program (PCP) and the Pediatric Cancer Component Program (PCCP) have been combined to increase the number of programs applying for accreditation. This category increases clinical trial accrual requirements. NCDB comparison data is being assessed for this category.
8. NCI-designated Comprehensive Cancer Center Program (NCIP) retains its name with increased clinical trial accrual requirements.
9. The Veterans Affairs Cancer Program (VACP) will retain its current name and clinical trial accrual requirements. The option to add military facilities to this category is being assessed.
10. The Hospital Affiliate Cancer Program category has been eliminated.

Eligibility Criteria

Eligibility criteria is defined as those program components that are core and essential, those aspects are applied to all accredited programs, whether on site or via referral. The scope of these services will be assessed annually by the Cancer Committee. The CoC staff will evaluate your Eligibility Criteria data submissions prior to your on-site survey. Briefly, the eligibility criteria will include:
1. Facility accreditation expanded to include recognized authorities;
2. Physician credentials (Board certified or eligible for surgery, radiation oncology, or medical oncology);
3. Radiation Oncology services (on site or via referral);
4. Radiation Therapy services follow quality assurance practices (ACR or ASTRO accreditation, attestation if not accredited);
5. Systemic Therapies (chemotherapy, biologics, and immunotherapy agents) are provided on site or via referral in a safe environment;
6. Policies and procedures are used to guide the safe administration of systemic therapies;
7. Oncology nursing leadership is credentialed;
8. Clinical trials information is provided through a formal mechanism as designated by the Cancer Committee;
9. Psychosocial support services are provided on-site or in coordination with local agencies and facilities;
10. Rehabilitation services are provided on-site or by referral, and the needs of the patients are assessed;
11. CoC data standards and coding instructions are used to capture all reportable cases;
Programs are to complete the SAR and assess their eligibility criteria annually.

Registry Operations

The Registry Operations group has developed changes to Standard #1. The current standard allows for case abstracting to be ‘performed or supervised’ by a Certified Tumor Registrar (CTR). The new standard will require that case abstracting is performed by a Certified Tumor Registrar (CTR). Beginning on January 1, 2012, all cancer registry staff who performs case abstracting at a CoC facility must:
1. Hold a current CTR credential; or
2. Be in a one-time only, three-year “grace period” to actively pursue eligibility requirements to sit for the CTR examination. This option applies to all newly-hired non-credentialed staff employed in the cancer registry after 1/1/2012.
Current registry staff must be credentialed by 1/1/2015, and newly-hired staff will have a one-time, three-year window to obtain credentials.
3. The Cancer Registry will have a procedural manual.

No Change or Minimal Revision to Standards

1. Standard 2.4: The Committee meeting options are clarified;
2. Standard 2.9: The monitoring of cancer conferences should lead to improving care processes;
3. Standard 4.4: Oncology nursing credentials retains a focus on OCN certification;
4. Standard 7.1: Staff education focuses on education surrounding staging, prognostic factors, and national treatment guidelines;
5. Standard 3.6: NCDB data submission has no changes;
6. Standard 3.7: NCDB quality data standards are not changed; and
7. Standard 3.8: CoC Special Studies requirement are not changed.

Minor Revision to Standards

1. Standard 2.2: With regard Cancer Committee Membership, the chair must be a physician. Coordinators have been added to required membership. Research representatives have been added to all categories, and genetic counselors have been included if services are provided on site.
2. Standard 2.5: Community outreach and quality improvement goals have been deleted. Definitions and examples may be found in the Best Practice Repository.
3. Standard 2.8: The required case presentations have been increased to 15% of annual caseload and 80% are prospective case discussions for treatment planning and must include discussion of prognostic factors.
4. Standard 2.10: The Cancer Committee is to address data errors related to the Registry data.
5. Standard 3.3: The abstracting timeliness is assessed on an annual case load from NCDB submissions. Commendation has been increased to a 96% compliance rating.
6. Standards 3.4 and 3.5: A 96% rating for commendation has been added to standard 3.5.
7. Standard 7.2: Commendation for registrar education has been deleted.

Major Revision to Standards

1. Standard 2.7: With regard to the multidisciplinary cancer conference, attendance, the Cancer Committee will develop the policy and address frequency, format, attendance, and attendance rates.
2. Standard 3.1: CTR’s will be required to be credentialed by 1/1/2015. Newly-hired staff has a one-time three-year window to obtain credentials.
3. Standard 4.3: When monitoring the stage and treatment planning activity, the use of stage, prognostic factors, and treatment guidelines will be used to plan the first course of treatment.
4. Standard 4.6: The CAP protocol compliance rate has been increased to 95% of pathology reports. Reporting in synoptic format in 95% of cases will result in commendation.
5. Standard 5.2: The minimal accrual rates and commendation rates have been increased in most categories. The Community Cancer Program will now have clinical trial accrual requirements.
6. Standard 6.2: The Cancer Committee will assess community needs and provide one screening activity annually that addresses community needs.
7. Standard 6.3: The coordinator role for community outreach has been expanded to include evaluation of program effectiveness. A Community
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Outreach Activity report will be required.
8) Standard 8.1: With regard to quality studies, the enhanced coordinator role will focus on evaluating problematic areas.
9) Standard 8.2: One annual quality improvement activity will relate directly to completed studies of quality and the commendation has been deleted.

Getting Ready for the New Standards with a Phase-In Period

Several new standards have been proposed. They are:

1. Cancer Liaison responsibilities: The CLP will be responsible for evaluating, interpreting and reporting on the facility performance using the NCDB data.
2. The Cancer Committee attendance rate will be 75% of members attending each meeting.
3. The provision of risk assessment, genetic counseling, and genetic testing must be provided on-site or by referral.
4. Psychosocial distress screening process: The screening and assessment of psychosocial distress, and referral to services when distress is identified.
5. Survivorship Care Plan Process: Programs must provide a comprehensive care summary and follow-up plans.
7. Quality of Care Metrics for accountability measures: Specific performance levels are set annually for each of the accountability measures and the Cancer Committee will monitor performance through the work of the Cancer Liaison Physician.
8. Quality of Care Metrics for Quality Improvement measures: Specific performance levels are set annually for each of the quality improvement measures. The Cancer Committee will monitor performance through the work of the Cancer Liaison Physician.
9. Clinical Trials Screening: A process to screen for clinical trials eligibility is in place. The Cancer Committee identifies and assesses barriers to clinical trial participation.
10. Annual Prevention Program: The Cancer Committee assesses community needs and provides one prevention activity annually that address community needs.
11. Nursing Leadership: The criteria have been defined, and it has been agreed that this standard should move to eligibility criteria.

Implementation Timeline

The working draft will be released by the beginning of 2011. On site discussions of new standards will occur during the 2011 surveys. Beta sites will be determined to test the standards and measures.

The final standards will be released in July of 2011 and the final standards implements on January 1, 2012.

If you have any questions, please e-mail them to lferris@renown.org. You may also e-mail inputs to CPS2011@facs.org.
Which Way to Go: Navigating the Maze of Vault Design

By Daniel Chang, AIA NCARB and Tim Black, LEED AP

While many factors determine the comfort and efficiency of a radiation oncology facility—and ultimately, its productivity and profitability—architects inexperienced in cancer center design typically focus on the demands of the equipment to the exclusion of other factors. Developing a cost-effective solution that meets equipment needs, maximizes productivity and comforts patients requires a higher degree of understanding of the unique demands of oncology architecture. A quick look at the myriad factors to weigh in vault design illustrates the need for an experienced oncology architect to achieve the best results for your cancer center.

The Vault
The vault is the primary safety device employed in radiation therapy for cancer treatment. It creates an enclosure around the treatment equipment that protects staff, patients, and other building occupants from primary and secondary radiation generated during therapy. Vault construction typically uses high-density materials such as lead or block, steel, or precast concrete to provide protective shielding. Of these, the most common and economical material used is a 147 pound-per-cubic feet density, cast-in-place (poured) concrete, though other materials may be considered given space constraints. Regardless of the type of material used for shielding, the architect must still determine how best to provide protection around the perimeter of the door. This one decision significantly affects the total facility cost, size, and location of equipment, staff productivity, patient comfort and number of treatments possible per day in each vault.

Vault entries fall into two broad categories: maze or mazeless. The maze style incorporates an internal wall that runs parallel to the entry (seen at lower left of Image 1) and creates an L-shaped corridor that terminates in the treatment space (at center). For linear accelerator equipment or a patient gurney to pass comfortably through and turn in the corridor, the corridor...
must have at least a six foot clear width, significantly increasing the overall footprint of the vault. In facilities where space is at a premium, like existing hospital settings, the 200 – 300 square feet of space necessary to accommodate the corridor may be a critical loss of area. At a cost from $350 to $500 per square foot for vault construction, the corridor may add approximately $150,000 per vault in construction costs.

As a safety feature, the barrier created by a maze works quite well. The corridor “scatters” the radiation by dissipating it against the walls, effectively reducing the intensity of the radiation before it reaches the vault door. This reduction in radiation at the entry allows a less shielded door to be used and can keep the cost of the door itself to about $30,000 to $50,000, subject to shielding requirements. While lighter than some other options, the swing doors used in this application still weigh 4,000 to 8,000 pounds. As a result, they are difficult to operate manually, and the heavy-duty operators required to open and close the door under routine function often takes more than a minute to completely open or close. In addition, the time it takes to travel the corridor between the entry and the patient couch may add another 1 to 2-1/2 minutes to the average treatment cycle time. Over a normal day of operation, these two factors may represent from one-half to over one hour of operating time difference between using swing and sliding doors.

Matt Sherer, Director of John B. Amos Cancer Center in Columbus, Georgia, confirmed these findings in an internal review of operational efficiency employing swing doors on treatment vaults. In Mr. Sherer’s study, three vaults with swing doors were analyzed to determine average times to open, to close, and to fully cycle, as well as total operations per day, average number of operations per patient, and average number of patients treated in each vault. Mr. Sherer found that it required nearly ninety-six minutes, or over an hour and a half to operate the doors on the three vaults. Mr. Sherer then compared this to the operating times of direct vaults with sliding doors. He found that the direct entry design only required little more than twenty-six minutes to cycle for the same number of patients. His study revealed modifying the vault entry design, and adding sliding doors would allow his facility...
Navigating the Maze of Vault Design
> Continued from page 6

to treat four more patients per vault, per day, or add a total of sixty treatments per week.

The design of a direct entry, or mazeless, vault eliminates the corridor in the overall footprint. This allows a reduction in the total size of the vault while maximizing the amount of space used for treatment and equipment. The smaller vault size also reduces the amount of real estate needed for the facility and cuts construction costs.

Lacking the concrete barrier wall that scatters radiation, however, this design requires a door with shielding equivalent to that of the vault wall (as shown at lower right of Image 2, in the previous page). In addition, this vault design needs supplemental shielding around the door opening to block any radiation leakage at the perimeter. The heavily shielded sliding doors typically used for this application weigh more than 25,000 pounds and can cost as much as $90,000. Because of their weight, sliding doors are usually mounted on structural steel framing with a heavy-duty mechanical operator. Sliding doors do not have to open fully before the vault can be accessed, so a technician can reach the patient more quickly. In addition, the direct access design eliminates the time needed to walk through a corridor. These two elements increase staff comfort and productivity and reduce the stress patients feel when alone in the vault. In addition, by reducing overall treatment time for each patient, the direct entry design increases vault utilization.

In reality, no two linear accelerator vaults are identical, and no single design works in every facility. Site conditions dictate the vault design and a seasoned designer can provide the best range of solutions. An overreliance on an equipment-centered approach increases the risk the vault design will fail to meet the needs of the specific treatment program. It is not uncommon for vault layouts, entries and shielding employing this approach to require extensive intervention to meet the functional needs and objectives of the cancer treatment program. An inexperienced architect can meet the technical requirements of equipment site planning guides or physicist’s reports, but may overlook other critical factors in a program’s success, otherwise apparent to an architect experienced in radiotherapy facility design.

To obtain the best result, the project team must work in collaboration to consider the design of the vault from all aspects. Fiscal and operational imperatives, as well as technological advances and safety, must be fully considered in selecting which approach works best for your facility. Partnering with an experienced oncology architect will help you quickly identify the key issues for your project and choose solutions that meet all your objectives.
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For more information regarding this article, or additional information on cancer treatment facility design, contact AE Design at studio@ae-design.com.
Welcome New Members
Since September 1, 2010

- Andrea Armstrong, Department Administrator
  UT MD Anderson Cancer Center
  1515 Holcombe
  Houston, TX 77009
  T: 713-792-8911
  aarmstrong@mdanderson.org
  (Referred by ACE Member, Wendy Austin)

- Cynthia Chavira, Mgr., Service Line Operations
  Cedars-Sinai Medical Center
  8700 Beverly Blvd.
  OCC Mezzanine, C-2000
  Los Angeles, CA 90048
  T: 310-423-8051 / F: 310-423-8300
  Cynthia.chavira@cshs.org
  (Referred by ACE Member, Phillip Wolfe)

- Veronica Decker
  Florida Hospital
  1713 Elisabeths Walk
  Winter Park, FL 32789
  T: 248-5210982
  vbdecker@aol.com

- Joan Giblin, Manager of Patient Access
  Winship Cancer Institute
  1365 Clifton Road, NE Suite C2123
  Atlanta, GA 30322
  T: 404-778-1900 / F: 404-778-5245
  joan.giblin@emoryhealthcare.org
  (Referred by ACE Member, Diane Cassels)

- Leslie Greenberg, Director of Clinical Oncology and Research
  Sibley Memorial Hospital
  5255 Loughboro Rd, NW
  Washington, DC 20016
  T: 202-243-2320 / F: 202-243-5271
  lgreenberg@sibley.org

- Sherry Hirst, Chief Financial Officer
  West Michigan Cancer Center
  200 N Park
  Kalamazoo, MI 49007
  T: 269-373-7453
  shirst@wvmcc.org

- Eliot Lieberman
  OCLEN Consulting Services
  14800 Rolling Green Way
  North Potomac, MD 20878
  T: 240-888-6951 / F: 301-309-0427
  elieberman@oclenconsulting.com
  (Referred by ACE Member, Mickey Goldman)

- Sally Luehring
  St. Vincent Regional Cancer Center
  855 South Van Buren
  Green Bay, WI 54301
  T: 920-433-8428
  sally.luehring@stvgb.org

- Suzanne Monte, VP Outpatient Services
  St. Mary Medical Center
  1201 Langhome-Newtown Road
  Langhorne, PA 19047
  T: 215-710-6748
  smonte@stmaryhealthcare.org

- Paul O’Dea, Chief Operating Officer
  Aptium Oncology, Inc.
  8201 Beverly Blvd.
  Los Angeles, CA 90048
  T: 323-966-3423 / F: 323-966-3597
  podega@aptiumoncology.com

- Carrie Pulaski
  Faxton St. Luke's Healthcare Regional Cancer Center
  1676 Sunset Avenue
  Utica, NY 13502
  T: 315-624-3423 / F: 315-624-3597
  cpulaski@mvnhealth.com
  (Referred by ACE Member, Nancy Borden)

- John Raney, Chief Administrative Officer
  Cancer Therapy & Research Center
  7979 Wurzbach Road, MC 8026
  San Antonio, TX 78229
  T: 210-450-1408 / F: 210-450-1100
  raney@uthscsa.edu

- Richard Rivera, Assistant VP, Admin.
  Winthrop-University Hospital
  Department of Medicine
  222 Station Plaza N., Suite 509
  Mineola, NY 11501
  T: 516 663-2499 / F: 516 663-8796
  rrivera@winthrop.org

- Robert Shaw, President
  Norton Cancer Institute
  315 E. Broadway, 4th floor
  M-65
  Louisville, KY 40202
  T: 502-629-2578 / F: 502-629-8410
  bob.shaw@nortonhealthcare.org

- William Trojan, Director, Oncology Program
  St. Mary Medical Center
  1201 Langhome-Newtown Road
  Langhorne, PA 19047
  T: 215-710-6789
  wtrojan@stmaryhealthcare.org

- Nancy White, Director Oncology Services
  Medical Center of Central Georgia
  777 Hemlock Street, MSC #84
  Macon, GA 31201
  T: 478 633 8545 / F: 478 633 8546
  white.nancy@mccg.org

- Ann Wilcox, Director Business Development
  Insight Oncology
  1825 Marion Street
  Denver, CO 80218
  T: 303-318-1309
  wilcoxa@exempla.org
  (Referred by ACE Member, Mickey Goldman)

---

Share Your News
Announce it in ACE Update for the industry to see!
Send your news and press releases to:
info@cancerexecutives.org – attention ACE Update.

JOIN A COMMITTEE!
For more information about ACE Standing Committees visit
www.cancerexecutives.org or email:
info@cancerexecutives.org

YOUR INPUT IS IMPORTANT TO US!
ACE appreciates member feedback and suggestions to better serve you.
E-mail your questions or comments to:
info@cancerexecutives.org
Did you miss the 2010 or 2009 ACE Annual Meeting? Catch up with the Meeting Notebooks!

Want to know more about the Cancer Center Building Blocks Conference? Presentations are now available in CD-ROM!

Contact ACE Headquarters today to order your copies!

Renew Your 2010–2011 ACE Membership Dues Online! www.cancerexecutives.org

It’s fast, easy, secure!

ACE has moved!
Please send all correspondence to

OUR NEW ADDRESS >>>

NOTE: phone, fax and email remain the same.
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WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 26

ALL DAY Oncology 101 Pre-Conference Program (Separate registration fee applies)

6:00PM - 7:30PM Annual Meeting Welcoming Reception

THURSDAY, JANUARY 27

8:30AM - 8:45AM Welcome and Opening Remarks
William Laffey, ACE President-Elect, Aurora Healthcare

8:45AM - 9:30AM Elekta Platinum Sponsor Keynote Speaker
Terry McKay, ACE Co-Founder, West Michigan Cancer Center

9:45AM - 10:45AM Patient Navigation Strategies
Lindsay Thomas, Virginia G. Piper
An overview of the importance of patient navigation and care coordination services for newly diagnosed cancer patients and their families, including the advantages and challenges faced by navigation and coordinator programs. Such services help to ensure Patient First and Service Excellence from both the patient's and the healthcare provider's standpoint.

11:00AM - 12:00PM Monitoring Clinical Performance/Outcomes Reporting
Amitabha Sarma, MD, MD Anderson Cancer Center
This presentation will provide attendees with knowledge and the tools to understand:
1. Where to obtain external benchmark data at the national level in order to align internal monitoring systems with national metrics.
2. Ways to implement monitoring of Clinical Performance/Outcomes Reporting.
3. How clinical outcomes monitoring in an outpatient and an inpatient environment compare and contrast.
4. The doctor's perspective on measurement and the complexities involved in interpreting Clinical Performance data.
5. Strategies for driving improvement.

12:00PM - 1:00PM Networking Luncheon

1:15PM - 2:15PM Biofield Therapy — It Ain't Voodoo Anymore
Kathy Moreland Layte, MSN, CS, HTCP/I, Healing Touch Program
Complementary and alternative therapies (A.K.A. Integrative Therapies) bring out the best in skeptics but continue to be in high demand by the public, particularly those experiencing cancer. Oncology administrators have to make prudent decisions about meeting this demand by considering cost, safety and efficacy of CAM practices. This session will focus on Healing Touch, a form of biofield therapy. The current state of research in this therapy will be outlined, as well as practical tips on how to introduce a trial of this therapy into your center. This therapy costs little to provide and shows promise in the promotion of health and well being of staff and patients.

Continued ➤
2:30PM - 3:30PM  Adoption of New Technology  
Matthew Garabrant, The Advisory Board Company  
With increasingly scarce revenues and physicians and public wanting the latest-and-greatest, each organization must evaluate how to adopt new technology appropriately. This session will highlight key steps to assessing and introducing new technology. The focus is not on a specific technology; case studies will reflect the need for appropriate research, market analysis and financial return.

3:30PM - 4:00PM  Refreshment Break

4:00PM - 5:00PM  Making Your Strategic Plan a Reality  
Phil Okala, University of Pennsylvania Health System  
A disciplined approach to strategic planning is critical to turning cancer program future dreams into realities. This session will illustrate the “how to” of implementing a strategic plan by outlining the related hospital committee presentations and approvals needed; budget process and timing for resources; planning groups facilitated to lead change; timelines and responsibility assignments; implementation tasks; etc. to make the plan a reality.

5:30PM - 7:30PM  Opening EXPO Reception

FRIDAY, JANUARY 28

8:00AM - 9:00AM  Expo Breakfast

9:00AM - 10:00AM  Establishing Multidisciplinary Clinics in Non-Academic Settings  
Jeffrey Marqolis, MD, Beaumont Oncology Network  
To affect a change in physician collaboration and enhance quality care, some community cancer centers have used an approach built around disease-site teams. The concept of multidisciplinary disease-site teams is not new, particularly within academic medical center environments. These are now being established in many community-based institutions to help differentiate cancer services in their local communities and dictate quality, clinical outcomes, and patient satisfaction.

10:15AM - 11:15AM  Accountable Care Organizations and Medical Homes  
Craig Comish, Baptist Health System  
The speaker will introduce a basic knowledge of the definition and operation of ACOs, their key features, and legal structure. Risk components and required investments will be analyzed, as well as decision support tools utilized throughout the evaluation process.

11:15AM - 12:15PM  Break in EXPO Hall

Enjoy our Relaxation Station in the Expo Hall. Experience Healing Touch and other complementary modalities in a soothing atmosphere of music and contentment.

12:15PM - 1:15PM  Lunch - Annual Business Meeting

1:30PM - 2:30PM  Varian Medical Systems Platinum Sponsor Keynote Speaker  
Joshua Lawson, MD, UCSD Moores Cancer Center  
This presentation will address “The Future of Radiation Therapy: Clinical & Technical Applications”

2:45PM - 3:45PM  BREAKOUT SESSIONS

• Cancer Rehabilitation  
Leslie Waltke, PT, Aurora Health Care  
The NCCN estimates that as many as 70–90% of people undergoing medical management for cancer will develop one or more musculoskeletal, cardiopulmonary or functional deficit as a result of their treatment. With cancer incidence rising and mortality decreasing, more and more cancer survivors are in vital need of diagnosis and treatment of these impairments. Cancer rehabilitation incorporated from diagnosis into survivorship can eliminate, reduce or completely prevent many of the common physical side effects of cancer treatment leading to improved patient satisfaction and outcomes.

• Facility Planning  
Bill Karanian, S/L/A/M Collaborative, Inc.  
Learn to identify space needs with cancer programs; how to effectively present your findings to senior leadership; and how to work with staff to ensure the outcome meets program and patient needs.

Continued ➤
3:45PM - 4:00PM  Break

4:00PM - 5:00PM  BREAKOUT SESSIONS
  • Models of Genetic Counseling
    Stephanie Cohen, MS, CGC, LGC, Saint Vincent Hospital
    The various models cancer programs might follow in establishing a risk assessment and/or genetic counseling program will be discussed, as well as compliance with the new CoC standard.
  • Survivorship and Psychosocial Service Models
    Karin Hahn, MD, MPH, MS, MD Anderson Cancer Center
    This session will focus on how cancer programs can establish and maintain survivorship programs which are effective and will fulfill the requirements of the new Commission on Cancer Standards.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 29

8:00AM - 9:30AM  Breakfast & Table Topic Discussions
  Facilitated by ACE members

9:45AM - 10:45AM  Accreditation Under the new ACoS Standards
  Daniel McKellar, MD, FACS, American College of Surgeons
  The new standards from the American College of Surgeons will become effective in 2012. This session will cover the major changes from the former standards, explain the rationale behind the changes, and discuss strategies for successful compliance.

10:45AM - 12:00PM  Clinical Trials Update Panel: ASCO, NCI Centers, Community Settings
  Patrick Grusenmeyer, Sc.D., FACHE, Helen F. Graham Cancer Center
  Roy Herbst, MD, PhD, MD Anderson Cancer Center
  Srini Kaluri, Forte Research Systems
  With the recent IOM report on the need to change clinical trials due to “the program falling short of its potential,” this talk will center on methods to improve clinical trial participation. Speakers from the major clinical trials research bases will discuss how academic and community programs can improve access, accrual and support of clinical trials.

12:00PM  Adjourn

PLUS: ONE-DAY PRE-CONFERENCE PROGRAM
See schedule on next page. Separate registration is required.

CONNECT WITH THE MEETING ATTENDEES!
Sponsor and exhibitor opportunities are available.
For information visit www.cancerexecutives.org

REGISTER ONLINE!  www.regonline.com/ACEmeeting2011
New oncology administrators, new ACE Members, and those seeking a refresher course are urged to attend the one-day program, **Oncology 101**.

This program precedes the ACE Annual Meeting and will prepare you for the topics and issues that you will face as an oncology executive.

**WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 26**

8:00AM - 9:00AM  Breakfast with your ACE Member

9:00AM - 9:15AM  Welcoming Remarks – ACE Oncology 101 Co-Chairs

Cat Taylor, South Nassau Community Hospital
Brian McCagh, Greater Baltimore Medical Center

9:15AM - 9:45AM  What is ACE? How to Get Involved in ACE

Bill Laffey, ACE President Elect, Aurora Health Care

9:45AM – 10:45AM  Cancer ABCs - Terminology We Use Every Day

Matt Sherer, Amos Cancer Center

10:45AM – 11:00AM  Break

11:00AM – 12:00PM  Going Outside: When and How Best to Use a Consultant

Moderator: Bill Laffey, Aurora Health Care
Panel: Teri Guidi, MBA, FAAMA, Oncology Management Consulting Group
Kelley Simpson, Oncology Solutions
Marsha Fountain, The Oncology Group

12:00PM – 1:00PM  Networking Luncheon

1:00PM - 2:00PM  Maximizing Your Matrix

Patrick Grusenmeyer, Sc.D., FACHE, ACE Immediate Past President, Helen F. Graham Cancer Center, Christiana Care Health System

2:00PM - 3:00PM  Strategic Planning

Linda Rogers

3:00PM - 3:15PM  Break

3:15PM – 4:15PM  Financial Reporting and Accountability

Brian McCagh, Greater Baltimore Medical Center

4:15PM – 5:00PM  Q&A With Your ACE Member

6:00PM - 7:30PM  17th Annual Meeting Welcoming Reception

Oncology 101 Attendees are Welcome to Attend

**ONCOLOGY 101**

**ONE DAY PRE-CONFERENCE PROGRAM* ON WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 26, 2011**

*Separate registration is required
## General Information

### ACCOMMODATIONS

**The Roosevelt New Orleans**  
The Waldorf Astoria Collection  
123 Barrone Street  
New Orleans, LA 70112  
Reservations: 1-800-WALDORF

**ACE Group Rate**

- $189

Contact the hotel directly and be sure to mention you are attending the ACE Annual Meeting. Come early or stay late – the world-famous French Quarter is just one block away!

---

## 2011 ANNUAL MEETING EXHIBITORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCC</th>
<th>FKP Architects</th>
<th>Pyramid Healthcare Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuray Incorporated</td>
<td>Forte Research Systems</td>
<td>Relaxation Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altos Solutions, Inc.</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
<td>Robins &amp; Morton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptium Oncology</td>
<td>Genentech</td>
<td>Siemens Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogardus Medical Systems Inc.</td>
<td>Integrated Healthcare Strategies</td>
<td>The Oncology Business Institute (TOBI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calypso Medical Technologies</td>
<td>James L. Davis, Inc.</td>
<td>The Oncology Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAMPS Oncology</td>
<td>National Coalition of Oncology Nurse Navigators</td>
<td>Tomo Therapy, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 Radiation Oncology Solutions</td>
<td>Oncology Solutions</td>
<td>Varian Medical Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elekta, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Connect with the attendees! Additional Exhibitor and Sponsorship opportunities are available! For details visit www.cancerexecutives.org

---

## ANNUAL MEETING & ONCOLOGY 101 PRICING

### REGISTRATION FEES / PER PERSON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>PRICE</strong></th>
<th><strong>Early-Bird</strong></th>
<th><strong>Full Fee</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRE-CONFERENCE PROGRAM</strong> / January 26, 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
> Oncology 101 | (by 12/17/10) | $299 | $349 |
| **ANNUAL MEETING** / January 27-29, 2011 |  
> ACE Member | (by 12/17/10) | $649 | $749 |
| > Non-Member | (after 12/17/10) | $899 | $999 |

**Fee includes:**
- All Annual Meeting meals, sessions, and meeting materials on flash drive.

**DAY PASS** / Good only for one day of your choice

- **Thursday, January 27 / OR / Friday, January 28** | $299

**Fee includes:**
- Meals, sessions, and meeting materials for the one day selected.

---

## 2011 ANNUAL MEETING EXHIBITORS

As of Nov. 15, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCC</th>
<th>FKP Architects</th>
<th>Pyramid Healthcare Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuray Incorporated</td>
<td>Forte Research Systems</td>
<td>Relaxation Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altos Solutions, Inc.</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
<td>Robins &amp; Morton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptium Oncology</td>
<td>Genentech</td>
<td>Siemens Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogardus Medical Systems Inc.</td>
<td>Integrated Healthcare Strategies</td>
<td>The Oncology Business Institute (TOBI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calypso Medical Technologies</td>
<td>James L. Davis, Inc.</td>
<td>The Oncology Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAMPS Oncology</td>
<td>National Coalition of Oncology Nurse Navigators</td>
<td>Tomo Therapy, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 Radiation Oncology Solutions</td>
<td>Oncology Solutions</td>
<td>Varian Medical Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elekta, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### ANNUAL MEETING KEYNOTE SPEAKERS

- **Terry McKay**  
  CEO, West Michigan Cancer Center  
  ACE Co-Founder

- **Joshua Lawson, MD**  
  UCSDiego Moores Cancer Center,  
  Department of Radiation

**Sponsored by:**

[Elekta](#)  
[Varian Medical Systems](#)

---

### ACE CORPORATE SPONSORS 2010-11

**PLATINUM**

- Elekta, Inc.
- Varian Medical Systems

**GOLD**

- d3 Radiation Oncology Solutions
- Forte Research Systems
- GE Healthcare
- Integrated Healthcare Strategies

**SILVER**

- Accuray Incorporated
- Aptium Oncology
- CHAMPS Oncology
- FKP Architects
- Genentech
- James L Davis, Inc.
- JW Friday, Hooker & Associates
- National Coalition of Oncology Nurse Navigators
- The Oncology Group
- Oncology Solutions
- Oncology Management Consulting Group
- Siemens Healthcare

**BRONZE**

- Nursnav Oncology
- Philips Healthcare

---

### REGISTER ONLINE!

[www.regonline.com/ACEmeeting2011](http://www.regonline.com/ACEmeeting2011)